

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/647,332	08/26/2003	Kentaro Tomioka	04329.3119	3534
22852	7590 04/24/2006	EXAMINER		
FINNEGAN,	HENDERSON, FAF	PAPE, ZACHARY		
LLP 901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2835	

DATE MAILED: 04/24/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Antique Occurrence	10/647,332	TOMIOKA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Zachary M. Pape	2835				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
<ul> <li>1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 February 2006</u>.</li> <li>2a) ⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.</li> <li>3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is</li> </ul>						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 4-22</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) 9-22 is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 4-8</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	r election requirement					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10) $\square$ The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 August 2003</u> is/are: a) $\square$ accepted or b) $\square$ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
·						
	•					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>		ratent Application (PTO-152)				

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

The following detailed action is in response to the correspondence filed 2/9/2006.

Claim 1-2, 4-8 stand rejected. Claims 9-22 are allowed.

The objection to the specification (Title and Abstract) have been withdrawn in view of the submitted amendment to each.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, 5, and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Larson et al. (US 5,383,340).

With respect to claim 1, Larson et al. teaches an electronic apparatus comprising: a housing (11) having a heat-generating component (12), a circulating path (comprising in part of 50) through which liquid coolant (Column 4, Line 32) for cooling the heat-generating component flows, the circulating path having a first connecting end (Tubing which 50 connects to as illustrated in Figs 3 and 5), a second connecting end (End of 50) connected to the first connecting end (As illustrated in Fig 5), and an outer wall (Comprising 26, 28, and the wall surrounding 36) surrounding the first connecting end (As illustrated in Fig 5 when 20 and 12 are connected), the second connecting end being interposed between the first connecting end and the outer wall (The second

Application/Control Number: 10/647,332

Art Unit: 2835

connector wraps around the first connector, thus placing it between the first connector and the outer wall); and a coolant-absorbent member (54) interposed between the second connecting end and the outer wall (28, as illustrated in Fig 5).

With respect to claim 2, Larson et al. teaches that the circulating path includes a heat-receiving portion (42a) which receives heat of the heat-generating component (Column 5, Lines 2-3), a heat-radiating portion (42b, 50) which radiates the heat of the heat-generating component, and a pump (Wick 54 acts as a pump as described by Larson in Column 4, Lines 66-68) which circulates the liquid coolant between the heat-receiving portion and the heat-radiating portion (Larson, Column 4 Line 66 – Column 5 Line 1).

With respect to claim 5, Larson et al. teaches a receptacle (42) provided between the first connecting end and the outer wall (28), and in which the first connecting end has an insertion port opening to the receptacle (Coolant flows from the tubing into the condenser (42) and for that reason, the first connecting end has an insertion port opening to the receptacle) and the second connecting end is inserted into the receptacle through the insertion port (The tubing connecting end could be inserted into the first connector thus the second connecting end is inserted into the receptacle through the insertion port).

With respect to claim 6, Larson et al. teaches that the member (54) swells upon absorbing the liquid coolant (The wick 54 will swell when absorbing liquid).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Larson et al. in view of Becker (US 6,199,915).

With respect to claim 4, Larson et al. teaches the limitations expressed in claim 1 above and further teaches that the first connecting end has an outer circumferential surface which is surrounded by the second connecting end (Tube 50 could wrap around the first connector). Larson et al. fails to teach that a plurality of projections which protrude from the outer circumferential surface. Becker teaches a connector (generally 1) with projections (6a, 7a) which protrude from the outer circumferential surface. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the connecting art at the time the invention was made to combine the connector of Becker with the electronic apparatus of Larson et al. to provide a reliable sealing, and displacement or damaging of the sealing element can be avoided (Becker, Column 1, Lines 45-50).

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Larson et al. in view of Nielsen et al. (US 4,990,541).

With respect to claim 7, Larson et al. teaches the limitations expressed in claim 6 above, but fails to teach that the member is made of rubber-like elastic material which

Art Unit: 2835

contains a water-absorbent polymer. Nielsen et al. teaches the conventionality of using a rubber-like elastic material which contains a water-absorbent polymer (Column 6, Lines 55 – 63). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Nielsen et al. with the electronic device of Larson et al. to provide a means of absorbing a large amount of water (liquid) in relation to the weight of the foam (Nielsen, Column 6, Lines 55-58).

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Larson et al. in view of Florence et al. (US 6,776,421).

With respect to claim 8, Larson et al. teaches the limitations expressed above in claim 5, but fails to teach that a seal closes the insertion port which covers the member. Florence et al. teaches the conventionality of placing a seal (42) over a port opening (28). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Florence et al. with the electronic device of Larson et al. to provide a means of sealing a port opening to prevent liquid from escaping from the port opening thus preventing liquid from escaping onto computer components causing damage to the electronic device.

### Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 9-22 are allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Art Unit: 2835

With respect to claims 9, 17, 21 and the dependents therefrom, see the office action dated 6/14/2005 for the reasons for allowance.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

### Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 2/9/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

With respect to the applicants' remarks regarding claims 1-2, and 4-8 that the walls of Larson (26, 28, and 36) are included in lid 20 of the disclosed laptop and as such this teaching cannot constitute, "the circulating path having.. an outer wall" as recited in claim 1, the examiner respectfully disagrees. The examiner notes that fig 7 of the present invention fails to show liquid coolant flowing through the outer wall as required in claim 1 and thus the examiner asserts that the applicant has used the outer wall as a component on the path but most certainly not of the path itself. In the same way the outer wall of Larson (Comprising 26, 28, and material surrounding 36) is a component on the path of the coolant which, as required by claim 1, surrounds both the first and second connecting ends.

With respect to the applicants' remarks regarding claims 1-2, and 4-8 that the applicants disagree with the Examiner's characterization of 54 being a cooling

absorbent member, the examiner respectfully notes that absent the wick (54), as taught by Larson et al. the coolant would flow with gravity toward the condenser (See Column 4, Line 66 – Column 5, Line 1). However since the wicking is in place it absorbs the cooling liquid from 50 and transports it through the wick (54) where heat from the screen is absorbed and is then transported to the condenser (Column 4 Line 66 – Column 5, Line 8). For this reason the wicking (54) of Larson et al. performs the function of "coolant-absorbent member" as claimed.

#### Conclusion

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Zachary M. Pape whose telephone number is 571-272-

Application/Control Number: 10/647,332 Page 8

Art Unit: 2835

2201. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Thur. & every other Fri. (8:00am - 5:00pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynn Feild can be reached at 571-272-2092. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

**ZMP** 

LISA LEA-EDMONDS PRIMARY EXAMINER